Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/679,767	TROSA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Seungsook Ham	2817
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>Seungsook Ham</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Charles E. Wands</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 9 November 2004	Time: <u>10:00 AM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: 1, 2, 4-8, 10-12		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: SEE EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
	·····	
22/		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	'Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)